

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/886,687	06/21/2001	Steve O'Halloran	15-925 4983	8654	
75	90 10/28/2004		EXAMINER		
WATTS, HOFFMANN,			FISCHETTI, JOSEPH A		
FISHER & HEI	NKE CO., L.P.A. Ave., Ste. 1750		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Cleveland, OH			3627		
			DATE MAILED: 10/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·				
21	Application No.	Applicant(s)	34	
,	09/886,687	O'HALLORAN ET AL.	_	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Joseph A. Fischetti	3627		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	••	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communic ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.	
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A	pril 2004.			
	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		prosecution as to the merit	s is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 18-34</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16,18-24</u> are subject to restriction a	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in Appli rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage	1	
Attachment(s)	_			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sumr	nary (PTO-413) ail Date		
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/886,687

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application has been amended to now contain claims directed to the following species:

The species of claims 1-8, drawn to a computer receiver;

The species of claims 9-15, drawn to a computer transmitter;

The species of claim 16, drawn to a storage medium:

The species of claims 18-22, drawn to computer network:

The species of claims 23-31, drawn to a method of inventory management:

The species of claim 32, drawn to a method of inventory monitoring.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 33 and 34 generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Page 3

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Joseph A. Fischetti at telephone number (703) 305-0731.

Primy Exm. 3627